

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_85299
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on nutrient status and their uptake by Melia azedarach seedling
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please see the manuscript for minor comments	Noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work analyses data just about nutriant uptake/concentration which is valuable. However, for next research I suggest you to evaluate some plant growth parameters in response to treatments. If you did but are not showing results of that I strongly recommend do that. Will be nice if you include it along with some statistic like Pearson correlation analysis to show the effects of treatments on plant growth parameters. Sound to me a little incomplete the research. May be next?	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	