



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT’S on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to editor’s comments
<p>English spelling and conjugation. Overall, the manuscript is poorly written. Major issues were found in syntax, grammar, and structure (layout). English language should be revising by native speaker.</p> <p>- These are the basic components of an abstract in any discipline:</p> <p>Part 1) Problem statement: Why do we care about the problem? What scientific gap is your research filling?</p> <p>Part 2) Methods: What did you actually do to get your results? (Without methodological detail) (e.g. from two sites, Sampling methods, Biological material, assessment of parameters, etc.)</p> <p>Part 3) Results: As a result of completing the above procedure, what did you learn/invent/create? What value are your findings and to whom will they be of use?</p> <p>Part 4) Conclusion and recommendations: What are the larger implications of your findings, especially for the problem/gap identified in step 1?</p> <p>The present abstract needs to be enriched and improved.</p>	<p><b>As i received reviewers comments, I did corrections in the manuscript for publish in your esteemed journal. Both reviewers were found minor corrections and recommended for publish. But, Editorial comments were different from both reviewers then i request you to publish in same condition. As per research work, it fulfil all requirement to publish paper in your esteemed journal. Although, I have published a paper in your journal in the same format.</b></p>