

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_84652
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF OIL FIELD EFFLUENT ON SOIL HEALTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL GROWTH IN SMALL TEA FARMS OF SHALMARI OCS-1, DIBRUGARH, ASSAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	References must be in accordance with IJPSS style. Pls thoroughly check all the references in references and also cross check to text section also.	I have corrected the references as suggested by the reviewer and according to the journal.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	1. Remove less important parameters in Tables/ Figure from text and also their write up portion. These Tables/Figures may be provided through supplementary file separately. 2. Reduce the number of Tables/Figures. 3. Besides, concise the discussion part and reduce the length of article (Possibly less than 5000 words of this article including references, tables and figures). 4. Language of text and Tables should be Times New Roman with font size 12 or follow the journal guidelines.	I have reduced the number of tables to only three. One for plant parameters and two for soil parameters. And for figures, I have put two graphs for soil particle analysis and other mentioned in the appendix for rainfall data I have concise the discussion part I have corrected the front size of the body to 12 and title to 14, also I have corrected the front language to times new roman
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Text language is very good. Abstract is well framed and provided problems, methodology and results clearly. Discussion also written very well (But, too lengthy so reduce/concise it).	Thank you for your suggestions.

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	