

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_84375
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on nutrient content and uptake of high quality protein maize (Zea mays L.) to under South Saurashtra agroclimatic zone of Gujarat
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>REVIEW REPORT</u></p> <p>Title: Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on nutrient content and uptake of high quality protein maize (Zea mays L.) to under South Saurashtra agroclimatic zone of Gujarat.</p> <p># Title change / modification suggested.</p> <p>Abstract: Authors are advised to use numerical/statistical data in abstract, number of treatment combinations and experimental design etc. along with conclusion.</p> <p>Keywords: fine</p> <p>Introduction: First paragraph of the introduction requires suitable references.</p> <p>HQPM, QPM, ATP full name (description where ever applicable) should be mentioned in the first instance itself.</p> <p>It seems to me the authors are quite reluctant to use suitable references and fond of writing long paragraph.</p> <p>Materials and method: seems to acceptable.</p> <p>Data presented in table and figure can be accompanied with suitable letter cases (a,b etc.) to distinguishes the treatment effects.</p> <p>Results and discussion: Authors are required to elaborate discussion section with recent references along with a note describing how the farmer's community gets benefited from your findings.</p> <p>In my opinion, the authors are required to focus on the introduction, result and discussion section. The MS requires serious revision with suitable justifications.</p>	Agreed with reviewer's comments. Corrections in the manuscript have been made accordingly. Thank you
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	