

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_84032
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of genetic divergence for hard seededness with field emergence and storage duration in Mungbean genotypes [Vigna radiata(L.)Wilczek]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. This article is relevant to agricultural microbiology. Its good work. This type of work is required for the development of new variety of seeds.</li><li>2. To check the spelling of the words.</li><li>4. This is only minor revision.</li></ol> <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. It's very clear and informative.</li><li>.</li></ol> <p><b>Materials and Methods:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Protocol will be set in a proper way. It's like a standard format.</li><li>2. You should be arranged in subheadings in materials and methods part.</li></ol> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In this part, result and discussion prediction is good.</li><li>2. Please mention the suitable research articles in the result and discussion part.</li><li>3. Its required for the quality of writing</li></ol> <p><b>Conclusion:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Its clear and good representation, no need to further revision.</li></ol>	<p>The work is based on Seed Technological interventions and fresearch. Spelling Checked and corrected.</p> <p>Sub headings given and numbered also.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>References:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Please check the references in the text section and reference part.</li><li>2. References are very important in the article. Please focus properly.</li></ol>	Checked.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. It is an interesting article</li><li>2. Need to improve the language and the quality of writing</li><li>3. Hope it will be published after the correction</li><li>4. Finally best of luck</li></ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	