

**Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>                                    |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJPSS_83267   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Gamma irradiation - A tool for enhancing storage life of grain cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <div><div>a. It is advisable to write a brief background that the research should be conducted</div><div>b. In some parts of the research results should be given a brief explanation of the discussion (suggestions for improvement are in the results of the review) in some parts of the research results should be given a brief explanation of the discussion (suggestions for improvement are in the results of the review). For example: (i) a progressive decrease in the germination percentage was observed with increasing doses of gamma rays; (ii) Gamma rays treated populations exhibited lower treatment mean for number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>.</div><div>c. it should have to uniform the writing citations in the manuscript</div><div>d. Please check the literature cited in the manuscript with the references</div></div> | <div>Revised as suggested</div> <div>Amended</div> <div>Done</div>  |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | Please check some improvements in the script  | OK made it  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | The manuscript has been discussed well, but in order to be published, it is still necessary to improve some parts of the manuscript.  | OK  |

Comment [D1]: is there any explanation why?

Comment [D2]: Is there any explanation why?

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |