

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_81117
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Slag based gypsum on nutrient uptake and yield of rice (Oryza sativa L.) in an alkaline soil
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This work contributes to the analysis of the behaviour of rice cultivation in a sodium soil using a gypsum support as a source of calcium. The originality of the work lies in the use of this type of support as a source of nutritive elements (calcium, sodium Magnesium ...). The paper is well drafted with minor corrections especially for the use of abbreviations in the summary. The introductory part is well illustrated, the materials and method part is well described as well as the results and discussion are well presented. I recommend the publication of the paper with minor revision. Remarks are attached in the document	The authors are thankful to the reviewer for the remarks and for recommending the publication of the paper.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

