

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_77906
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT APPROACHES OF NUTRIENT APPLICATION ON YIELD, NUTRIENT UPTAKE, NUTRIENT USE EFFICIENCY AND ECONOMICS OF CARROT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The present article mainly describes the effect of different approaches of nutrient application on yield, nutrient uptake, nutrient use efficiency and economics of carrot in a single season. The work is interesting and might be suited to line of the journal.</p> <p>If agree by the Authors, they may follow the reviewer comments. Some correction has been made in the body of the manuscript through track changes and comments for development of the article.</p> <p>Note: Sorry to say that this paper might be a problem of plagiarism from another published paper :Bhavya N, Basavaraja PK, Mohamed Saqeebulla H and Gangamrutha G. 2019. Validation of STCR approach of nutrient application for carrot on Alfisols of eastern dry zone of Karnataka. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 8(5): 1768-1771</p> <p>For improvement and plagiarism correction:</p> <p>Title: Title of the manuscript should be corrected with track changes</p> <p>Introduction: Introduction should be improved by contextual information and if agree by the authors, they should follow the correction and improvement of the body of introduction with track changes.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Materials and Method should be presented as self-explanatory for reader understanding. Authors should follow the reviewer comments mention in the text of the manuscript of Methodology section.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Parameters wise results and Discussion should be described properly and should be improved easy English language with supporting the appropriate current reference for reader understanding. Authors should be checked the data and statistical analysis thoroughly for improvement of the manuscript.</p> <p>Conclusion: Reviewer already mentioned through track changes/comment in the manuscript.</p> <p>Reference: Reference section should be checked and revised. Hence, it should be corrected according to the Journal standard.</p>	<p>the published article “Bhavya N, Basavaraja PK, Mohamed Saqeebulla H and Gangamrutha G. 2019. Validation of STCR approach of nutrient application for carrot on Alfisols of eastern dry zone of Karnataka. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 8(5): 1768-1771 is my own research work which is the part of present study.</p> <p>Corrections are made as per reviewers comment for improvement and plagiarism correction</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Requires Moderate Revision for avoid the plagiarism .	Revisions are made
Optional/General comments	Advise to the Authors to improve the manuscript through easy English language editing. After revision the manuscript, it could be published as full length research article.	Reviewers comments are addressed

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No