

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_87950
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalent rates of Escherichia coli O157: H7 and non-O157 strains in isolates from some selected sites in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper titled " Prevalent rates of Escherichia coli O157: H7 and non-O157 strains in isolates from some selected sites in Port Harcourt, Nigeria " describes research on the prevalent rates of E. coli strains in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The subject of the study is interesting and innovative, and this work can be published in this journal after the corrections of the following items.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-The title of the study needs to be modified. Correct the ambiguity of the title.- The grammar of the manuscript language needs to be improved..-In the introduction, it is necessary to refer to further studies in this field.-The results and discussion section has well written, but need to be compared with similar studies in this field.-Revise the conclusion part. This section should provide general conclusions and perspectives for the future.	<p>The title has been modified.</p> <p>All grammar errors have been corrected.</p> <p>Modifications have been made.</p> <p>Result section has been reviewed and corrections have been made.</p> <p>Conclusion has been revisited.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues.