

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_84181
Title of the Manuscript:	A case of an acquired rectovaginal fistula and faecal incontinence in a 4-year old girl from sexual assault
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Work with good structure, presenting important characteristics in the pathology of sexual aggression and children's rights, and quality in research through case study, evaluated in clinical characterization and social risk, and need for child protection measures.</p> <p>As to its relevance, the high prevalence rates of child sexual abuse in the world and unknown in Nigeria (obscurantism), the severity of the complications and clinical sequelae of sexual assault, and the need to characterize the type of families and functionality of the family structure, demonstrate the opportunity of the study.</p> <p>In terms of relevance, the existence of child sexual abuse crimes to be avoided and punished, the permanent damage caused by child sexual abuse, and the need for specific measures to prevent and combat child sexual abuse, underpin this study.</p> <p>As for the methodological correction, the case study documentary format is adequate, the structure, description and logic of the development of the work are good, the bibliographic references are not extensive, there is no sociodemographic characterization, the conclusion is poorly developed, and the systematic work, without serious epidemiological mishaps and with well-founded considerations.</p> <p>As for the content, it is a work adjusted to the problem of child sexual abuse, in science, research and alert to its characteristics, precise and of impact to be taken into account by decision-makers and government authorities, focused on the defense of children, on the its causes of crime and the physical consequences, and in the violence exercised that requires action in defense of the victims of crime.</p> <p>In global analysis, the work "A case of an acquired rectovaginal fistula and faecal incontinence in a 4-year old girl from sexual assault" is a very good work, as a scientific article in the case study modality, and a requirement for process improvement of decision-making by the authorities, with a lot of interest in the clinical professional environment.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Complications of the surgical techniques described are not indicated for other cases.</p> <p>Psychological complications are not indicated in this clinical case, neither in the description nor in the conclusion.</p> <p>The strict measures recommended for the prevention and control of this public health problem are not indicated.</p>	<p>No complications encountered</p> <p>Psychological complications are usually long time. It was stated in the case.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The bibliographic references are between 2013 and 2018 (there are 2 studies without publication date).</p> <p>There is no approach to the situation of child sexual abuse and the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>There is no characterization of the type of family, nor the stability of the family structure, nor the socio-economic status.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Not part of the study</p> <p>Done</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	