

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_84181
Title of the Manuscript:	A case of an acquired rectovaginal fistula and faecal incontinence in a 4-year old girl from sexual assault
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	This case study is of particular interest due to the fact that severe complications, which occurred as a result of penetrating sexual assault, occurred in a girl aged only 4 years.	Thank you
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>At the same time, the author makes very little reference to the particularities of surgical treatment in the clinical case, postoperative conduct, other more specific issues of clinical conduct, based on the characteristics of the patient's age and the consequences of sexual abuse. These details would be of great value to clinical practice and would better fit the paper into the journal's requirements for the "report / case study" category. For these reasons, we recommend the author to give an added value to this case study, sharing some more concrete and detailed data of clinical interactions, which took place in the diagnostic-curative process for this patient.</p> <p>Also, there is necessary to revise the "Conclusions", which in accordance with the journal's requirements should mention, in short, the main findings of the study, which is not found in this manuscript. In the "Conclusions" the authors do not refer to some clinical or diagnostic-curative findings, but offer some recommendations, which would help prevent sexual abuse in society and avoid the psychological consequences for the raped.</p> <p>Some minor corrections are also necessary in the "Discussions" section, where the results presented in the "Case Report" should not be repeated, but a detailed interpretation of the data presented should be provided.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Done</p> <p>The data were represented to aid in interpretation.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Attention should be paid to the structure of the publication, where according to the requirements of the journal, in the main part the rendering of the objectives is not mentioned, and these should be included in the "Abstract" section.	The other reviewer requested that the abstract should not have an aim/objective because it is a case report

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	