

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_79994
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacognistic, Acute Toxicity profile and comparative leaf characteristics of Desmodium velutinum, Mallotus oppositifolius and Synedrella nodiflora.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Grammatical corrections</li><li>Article requires revision for Plagarism some part manuscript is copy paste</li><li>Plate 13 C showed lignified tissues is labeled as cork,</li><li>Leaf doesn't contain cork recheck or reconfirm it.</li><li>Table: 3 Extractive value Methanolic extract high than ethanolic reconfirm it.</li><li>Qualitative Phyto-chemical Analysis is never value correct that</li><li>Conclusion is missing</li><li>Need to revise the entire manuscript</li></ul>	Gramma had been corrected Plagiarism has been reduced Corrected Table 3 correct was discussed for individual plants and they are correct. Conclusion is included The manuscript has been revised accordingly
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Reference not as per journal and citation are wrong</li></ul>	References were corrected
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Revise Table: 5 there is mistake in analysis</li></ul>	Table 5 has been reviewed

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	