

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_89961
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate driven responses in Cocoa for Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The purpose and conclusions of the paper seem self-evident: Cocoa crops grow better when weather conditions are optimal. Also, "...requires suitable management measures for sustainable cocoa productivity."</p> <p>This does not detract from the value of the research, but the paper would have greater impact if the author(s) had stated in the introduction just what they were investigating, and why it was important.</p> <p>The Conclusion section merely restates/summarizes the data from the paper. It does not really address the potential significance of the data or of the investigation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Thanks for the comments. It is quite helpful in stating the missing points.• In introduction and discussion, changes were made according to the instructions. Specific reason for this research has been added in the introduction.• The main objective of this study is to derive a relationship between yield of cocoa and weather variables. When a relationship is established, it can be useful to understand the degree of threat to the cocoa productivity in the future.• All these points were added in the revised manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	Close and careful editing is necessary to ensure correct spelling, agreement of subject and verb, insertion of missing word(s), etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling correction were made.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No