

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88887
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of ENSO on Seasonal temperature over Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper shows the effect of ENSO on temperature in Tamil Nadu. The data seems to be meaningful, but there are some parts that should be changed, such as the method of presenting charts. The details are described below, so it is recommended that you resubmit the revised manuscript after referring to it.</p> <p>- It is better to add a figure or table that can be directly understood as the content of the conclusion. For example, if you want to show that there is no statistical difference, why not show the details in a table? Or why not combine various data from the same city into one figure?</p>	Temperature deviation is calculated and the values are represented in graph. For understanding visualization is best than numerical values in table. The values are insignificant for all season so putting table is meaningless.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>- There are multiple ways to describe the symbols "±" and "°C". It is better to unify including the character spacing.</p> <p>- Only Fig.2 has "over" in the title, and the others have "in". Do you have any special intentions?</p>	Corrected it sir
Optional/General comments	<p>- The effective values (number of digits) on the vertical axis in the figure are not unified.</p>	Based on the calculated value it differs

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	