

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88296
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in activity of phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), phenols and β 1, 3 Glucanase in crossandra plants affected by Fusarium incarnatum (Desm.)Sacc and treated with bioagents, organic amendments, silver nanoparticles and fungicide in pot culture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It would be helpful for readers to understand the goal of this study by adding a sentence stating the research objective in the last paragraph of the Introduction. A sentence like this: The gold of the study is to...2. The treatment mental should be treatment? (Page 3 not including the first page of the abstract)3. The results in T16 on day 9 may be a typo? It should be that large (i.e., 6658.94)4. Keep the font size consistent throughout the paper.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Modified as suggested2. Changed treatment3. 3. T16 changed4. Yes, kept font size uniform
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	It is 100% my PhD work. No ethical issues