



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT' S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor' s comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This problem is with regard to highlighting and clearly characterizing the statistical significance of the comparisons between the various treatments implemented, a point already underlined clearly by the Reviewers.2. In particular, I cannot see (unless the Authors clearly show me otherwise), what level of significance threshold (i.e. which “ p value”) was chosen by the authors – and this is, of course, of decisive importance here. These gaps in details regarding the statistical method used and the significance level adopted are detrimental to the proper assessment of the quality of the experimental work.3. Now, on the other hand, the experimental work carried out is – on all other aspects - interesting and it would be a shame if it were not published.4. Therefore, I recommend: the Authors complete, in a new revised version, the above improvements relating to the statistical aspect.	<p>As mentioned in the paper the statistical comparison between the treatments, I have clearly given the explanation for the comparison between the treatments. I already mentioned p value I have made all the possible and required corrections as prescribed by you .</p>