

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_87377
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of yield attributes and yields of pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan (L.)) varieties under different sowing windows
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript does not discuss the results obtained, it does not explain the reasons for the results and the relationships, therefore, I suggest the authors add a couple of discussion paragraphs with other papers.</p> <p>The manuscript is interested. However, there are some comments that should be considered before publication, in this way the scientific quality of the manuscript would be improved.</p> <p>Authors should consider the corrections suggested by the reviewers. In this way the postulated manuscript would be presented reasonably, fluently reading.</p> <p>Suggested citations are for genuine scientific reasons that emphasize the current topic of study in context</p>	<p>As per instructions of reviewer authors have explained with some more suitable reasons for results and discussion section by taking 4 more references.</p> <p>Authors are thanking for your comments of interested. From authors side we are thinking that we have made good efforts to explain the results.</p> <p>We have made corrections as per reviewer comments</p> <p>We have added some more citations for suitable scientific reasons.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	-