

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86630
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of potassium and foliar nutrition of secondary (Mg) and micronutrient (Zn & B) on growth and yield attributes and yield of Bt -Cotton
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work is good but the general presentation needs refinement.</p> <p>In my opinion there is not a very significant effect of K on seeds per boll at various secondary and micronutrient combinations.</p> <p>All the table headings need rewriting. The tables' headings should be neutral i.e., without inferences. The reader withdraws the conclusions from the table data and as explained by the author in the text.</p> <p>A lot of scholarly articles are cited in literature on BT cotton. More references should be incorporated e.g., Doi: HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/2/2020.0364 Muhammad Aslam et al., International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry V7 • I3 • 2020</p>	<p>As per the suggestion of the reviewer, the refinement or corrections required were carried out.</p> <p>The titles of the table were changed and conclusion part was added</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The highlighted words need attention.</p>	<p>Suggestions carried out</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper must add more references. It will be good if conclusion is given in a few sentences.</p> <p>The paper must be revised in light of comments given on the paper.</p>	<p>Conclusion added</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	