

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86435
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, Yield and Economics of Maize (Zea mays L.) Hybrids Under Agro-Climatic Conditions of Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear authors, the comments placed here are suggestions which aim to contribute to the improvement of the manuscript and, consequently, to promote its publication.</p> <p>Here are some points to note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Material and methods is a topic that aims to allow the reproduction of the experiment by other people, clarify how the work was carried out and subsidize the discussions. I missed more information about the experiment, such as the experimental plot (size, number of plants, etc.), evaluations carried out, calculations presented in the tables, hybrids evaluated (where they were obtained, what was the criterion for choosing them....). Please, Note the comments added in the manuscript about this.• If possible, add more information about the hybrids, such as how long their cycle is....• The results were presented, but little was discussed about these results. I suggest exploring the results further by discussing the data..• The references are, in part, inconsistent with the citations in the text. Therefore, it is necessary to review them one by one and adjust references according to the citations used in the text.	Thank you for your comments. I had done all corrections.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Update culture data in intro, seek reference with more up-to-date data.</p> <p>Use keywords other than those in the title of the manuscript.</p> <p>If possible, add more information on how the experiment was conducted (mechanical or manual seeding? Which and how many herbicide applications were carried out?...).</p>	Thank you for your comments. I had done all corrections.
Optional/General comments	<p>The measurement units could be standardized according to the International System of Units, as it facilitates reading and comprehension by readersd of the different countries and regions.</p>	Thank you for your comments. I had done all corrections.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues