

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85873
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Organic Nutrient Sources on Yield and Economics of Vegetable Clusterbean
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> As per author guidelines for one year experiment is needed for agricultural papers. Please if you done any confirmation trial plot, add the details.<input type="checkbox"/> Abstract can be of single paragraph without sub headings. Please remove all the sub headings of the abstract and correct the sentences accordingly. Pls once verify and correct typographical errors.<input type="checkbox"/> In title Scientific name and variety name of the crop can be given.<input type="checkbox"/> Pls ensure minimum experimental plot size requirement, <i>ie.</i>,20sq m.<input type="checkbox"/> Appendix can be removed and the same detail can be incorporated with the result chapter, so that the benefit cost ratio can be well explained by table format with expenditure, gross income, net income etc.,	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes, it is one year field experiment experiment, i have include that in materials and methods• Accepted and converted into single paragraph• Scientific name and variety added in the title• Corrected the plot size details• Accepted, and included the deatils in results and discussion chapter
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> Clusterbean to be corrected as Cluster bean<input type="checkbox"/> Key words can be in alphabetical order, recommended dose of fertilizer can be replaced by RDF.<input type="checkbox"/> In methodology, please explain the detail procedure for banana sap extraction and its dilution. Did you extract the sap from standing tree or harvested/uprooted tree?<input type="checkbox"/> Few more recent references can be discussed in Result and discussion chapter.<input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion can be corrected as per the actual result (TNAU pulse wonder combination recorded maximum.)<input type="checkbox"/> Journals names can be abbreviated	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Accepted, and corrected• Yes arranged in alphabetical order• Yes, included the procedure banana pseudostem sap collection and dilution procedure in materials and methods chapter• Yes added references Added the conclusion about TnAU pulse wonder treatment, but i want to recommend the best treatment among the organic treatments, which is on par with conventional fertilizers.• Abbreviated te journals in reference section• and i have removed the graph and added table regarding economic part in results and discussion chapter
Optional/General comments	Work shows novelty, but the interpretation of results and methodology presentations can be improved to enrich the paper.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I have made modifications to present materials and methods.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	