

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85623
Title of the Manuscript:	GREEN LIVING: A PANACEA FOR SECURED ENVIRONMENT
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The abstract does not follow the framework of a scientific paper. It needs to be reconstructed. Type of study, methodology, findings and conclusion are missing from abstract.</p> <p>What? We eat Mr. available now.</p> <p>Literature review is too poor.</p> <p>Introduce dominant green production technologies in this regard.</p> <p>Green production strategies must be mentioned.</p> <p>The redesign and reproduction strategies and technologies must be explained.</p> <p>Conclusion lacks the important and key points.</p> <p>Explain EPI index relevantly its equations and relationships.</p> <p>Tabulate greenery projects in the industrial scale up and in the project levels in the world.</p> <p>Tabulate the budgets devoted to greenery projects by world bank.</p> <p>Add the role of nanotechnology in green products and its drawbacks and advantages,</p> <p>Paper can be extended with various assessment instruments like EPI index. it can be extended to financial relationships in related cases, etc.</p>	Revised
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	