

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85064
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative studies of seed protectants for longterm ambient storage of mungbean against Callosobruchus chinensis (L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	*Scientific name of the experimental plant and insect once used in full form has to be abbreviated for genus thereafter. *The abstract is not properly written *There is no mention of the procedure regarding insecticidal activity. *The MS lacks discussion comprehensively. *The data is presented either in table or figure and in both the forms. *The English of the MS is not up to the mark. *The MS cannot be accepted in this form and needs to be revised thoroughly.	Revised
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	