

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_84803
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of surface and groundwater quality for suitability of irrigation purposes collected from some selected sources of Eastern India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Dear authors, I have reviewed this manuscript, the title is relevant and informative about the study and the methodology is valid. But I have found several issues regarding this approach; 1. I suggest that the authors have a general check and revise this manuscript thoroughly as there are lot of grammatical and text mistakes, please consider the track file to revise and correct. 2. Please improve the quality of the graphs, some values and texts are missing and blurry, check the track file (highlighted red). 3. Recheck the references as well there are unnecessary gaps and spelling mistakes.	The manuscript has been corrected as per reviewers comments (1, 2 and 3)
Minor REVISION comments	1. Revise the whole manuscript by deeply improving the spelling and grammatical mistakes and style. 2. Fix the typos and errors highlighted in the text file.	The manuscript has been revised as per reviewers comments (1 and 2)
Optional/General comments	Overall, a good study with the potential to be better. Check the attached track file with some extra comments.	We have checked the attached track file and accordingly revised the manuscript as per reviewers comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No