

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_84524
Title of the Manuscript:	Feasibility and product maturity of compost from poultry waste under temperate agr-oclimatic conditions of Kashmir Valley.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Authors studied "Feasibility ... Kashmir Valley" title itself spell mistake and period used!</p> <p>English should be corrected by native speaker who have knowledge in the subject.,</p> <p>In Abstract: Feathers included with carcass? And define the microbial name (bacteria) general instead of scientific name in M+M?</p> <p>Introduction: Different fonts and several sentences grammar, syntax and format error. The objectives of the study needed more explanation.</p> <p>M+M: Check my corrections in MS</p> <p>Results: Check my corrections in MS</p> <p>Authors should delete redundant data in both Table and Figure format use only one</p> <p>Delete Table 1 it is already detailed in M+M</p> <p>Delete all figures except Plate 1</p> <p>Overall, authors studied changes in N,P,K content in two climates in temperate climatic conditions with 4 different compositions of bird carcass and find small interesting results and compared to some similar studies still lacking description? I couldn't list all my suggestions in review form did a corrections in some parts of submitted MS please check them for complete review.</p> <p>M+M not complete especially microbial part, statistics and comparison study they used open site carcass decomposition etc.,</p> <p>If authors studied more chemical and microbial composition it would have a great research with present condition this MS has only local interest with minor observations.</p> <p>Recommend editors can take decision</p> <p>My recommendation is major revision and re-submission</p>	Comment accepted and considered
Minor REVISION comments	Delete Figures 1-3 which is same as tables	
Optional/General comments	Further my extensive comments provided in MS directly I advise editor consider the main MS along with this revision form	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	