

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_84342
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic variability and association analysis of Lisianthus (Eustoma grandiflorum (Raf) Shinn) advanced lines under mid hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div><div><div></div><div>You can add your general comments, corrections, and suggestions concerning the Title: Good</div></div><div><div></div><div>You can add your general comments, corrections, and suggestions concerning the Abstract: English is weak and needs improvement.</div></div><div><div></div><div>You can add your general comments, corrections, and suggestions concerning the Introduction: More literature review shall be conducted; existing does not seem enough</div></div><div><div></div><div>You can add your general comments, corrections, and suggestions concerning the Materials and Methods: It seems ok, if possible put the climatic chart</div></div><div><div></div><div>You can add your general comments, corrections, and suggestions concerning the Conclusion: the conclusion shall start from the most important trait.</div></div><div><div></div><div>You can add your general comments, corrections, and suggestions concerning the Tables and Figures: the line size in the table addresses whether data seems too thick it shall be thin and write the same font and size of numbers in table.</div></div><div><div></div><div>You can add your general comments, corrections, and suggestions concerning the References: Different reference systems are used for references and they shall be uniform. Probably as for the journal requirement.</div></div></div> <div>Comments and Suggestions for Author: Please improve the English language used in the text</div>	<div><div><div></div><div>I agree with the reviewer comments and the manuscript corrected.</div></div><div><div></div><div>English is improved as per the comments of the reviewer.</div></div><div><div></div><div>Corrected as per the guidelines of the Journal.</div></div><div><div></div><div>It is not possible to add the climatic chart as the climatic parameters are not recorded.</div></div><div><div></div><div>Conclusion part is improved as per the suggestions made by the reviewer.</div></div><div><div></div><div>Table font size and line size corrected.</div></div><div><div></div><div>References are corrected and written as per the Journal guidelines.</div></div></div> <div><div><div></div><div>Language improved written in the text as per the comments highlighted by the reviewer./</div></div></div>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	