

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_84238
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of zinc and iron on growth and productivity of summer mungbean
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editoria_tol-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I read the entire article, really, it must correct or even resume a lot. he must necessarily refer to the journal's author's guide to correct: author citations in the manuscript, presentation of the table, the caption of the table. Bibliographic references must be answered squarely by referring to the author's guide.	I agree with this and very grateful to the reviewers for their insightful comments on my paper. Therefore, I have changed the manuscript according to your suggestion. I have made the required changes on presentation of the table and references.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	What is Rs.? , /ha,.....?	Rupees per hectare

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues.