

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_83769
Title of the Manuscript:	Trends in streamflow in relation to water retention structures: A case study in Bharathpuzha river basin, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The manuscript should be marked with page numbers and line numbers to facilitate reviewers to provide corresponding comments ; 2. There are overmuch background descriptions in the Abstract, and the focus of this work is not accurately and concisely summarized in the Abstract; 3. In the Introduction, the author does not clearly give the purpose of using SWAT model: to solve the above-mentioned problems or to predict? 4. Some references are outdated, such as the tenth line on the page 2, the third line on the page 3, etc.	1. Manuscript was marked with page numbers and line numbers; 2. Background descriptions in the Abstract were reduced and abstract was modified accordingly 3. Purpose of using SWAT mode is explained 4. The outdated references were changed to new ones
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues