

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_83594
Title of the Manuscript:	SURVEY OF INSECT PESTS AND NATURAL ENEMIES ASSOCIATED WITH CHILLI IN VARIOUS DISTRICTS OF KYMORE PLATEAU & SATPURA HILLS ZONE OF MADHYA PRADESH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>(1) Author started abstract with methodology, instead of introduction about pest/crop. Hence, the abstract should include introduction, methodology, result and conclusion in sequence.</p> <p>(2) Material and method section is very poorly written. It must answer several queries. Author has not mentioned the detailed method of observing insects. Whether they were recorded per plant/leaf/twig etc. need to be mentioned in M&M section. How the microscopic mites are observed per leaf? In the field or lab. ? Sampling part, sampling method, sampling size is missing.</p> <p>(3) Survey data must include the time (may be meteorological standard week) of incidence/occurrence of pests.</p> <p>(4) How many times the pest population was monitored. Whether data presented is mean of several observations / visits? if data is based on only one observation/visit, then it's a poor representation. How many observations were recorded during each crop stage?</p> <p>(5) At several places author has mentioned "peak mean population". Usually there will be a single peak per crop stage / crop growing season. How the mean of peak is calculated in each crop stage per district ? In text author mentions "peak mean population" while in table it is mentioned as just "mean population". Author must clear the confusion.</p> <p>(6) Conclusion part is missing at the end of MS. The study must be concluded to convey results properly to the readers.</p> <p>(7) the pest population may be subjected to appropriate statistical tool to compare the population across the districts to present the conclusion /inference</p> <p>(8) The results are not properly discussed. However results are simply supported by past literatures. Author must discuss his experimental results to draw the inference.</p> <p>(9) The overall language of the MS need to be improved.</p>	<p>As per comment given by different reviewers we really appreciated without your comment we unable to do any perfection so really thankful to you all. As per given your all kind of suggestion we corrected, modified and incorporate according to your. We have done on priority basis each and every part of the comments fulfilled and corrected.</p> <p>So kindly requested to you this manuscript proceed ahead to further step.</p> <p>Thank You!</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revisions are mentioned in the MS wherever needed	
Optional/General comments	Title may be modified as suggested in MS	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	This manuscript is definitely fully supported, genuine and originally done for the useful for further studies to know the location specific intensity of the different pest to monitor as well as minimizes them in stipulated time within the place.