

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_83594
Title of the Manuscript:	SURVEY OF INSECT PESTS AND NATURAL ENEMIES ASSOCIATED WITH CHILLI IN VARIOUS DISTRICTS OF KYMORE PLATEAU & SATPURA HILLS ZONE OF MADHYA PRADESH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The introduction part is very elaborative which should be reduced.</p> <p>2. The materials and methodology is not clear and very vague, It should be rewritten by including the exact methodology and sampling procedure followed for data recording on various insect pests.</p> <p>3. The results and discussion part is not written well, the pest status should be mentioned at various stages of survey and it should be discussed in the light of available literature.</p>	<p>As per comment given by different reviewers we really appreciated without your comment we unable to do any perfection so really thankful to you all.</p> <p>As per given your all kind of suggestion we corrected, modified and incorporate according to your. We have done on priority basis each and every part of the comments fulfilled and corrected.</p> <p>So kindly requested to you this manuscript proceed ahead to further step.</p> <p>Thank You!</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	This manuscript is definitely fully supported, genuine and originally done for the useful for further studies to know the location specific intensity of the different pest to monitor as well as minimizes them in stipulated time within the place.