

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_81899
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of municipal treated wastewater quality for irrigation in Orathanadu block of Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript can be accepted for publication with minor corrections in some of the sentences, which either require grammatical corrections or are not complete in themselves. For example,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. “Have research aimed to focus on the environmental concerns of using treated wastewater (TWW) from a municipal sewage water treatment plant (MSWTP), Orathanadu, Thanjavur District (WWTP), for irrigation and assessment its acceptability as a non-conventional water resource for irrigation.”2. “Procedures followed for analysis have been under the Standard Method for Examination of Water and Wastewater parameters are electrical conductivity (EC) and pH (6), total dissolved solids (TDS).....”3. “The pH of treated wastewater value which is within the safe criteria (13).”4. “However, it was established the fact that TSS limits of 50 mg L⁻¹ (no restriction on use) and >100 mg L⁻¹ (severe restriction on use) when drip irrigation is used (14).”5. “Therefore, the permissible limit and restriction were given in Error! Reference source not found..”6. “therefore, the best measure of a water likely effect on soil permeability is the water SAR considered together with its EC.	<p>Revision made</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Done Revision</p> <p>Done</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	