

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_79667
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Zinc and Iron on growth and productivity of relay Grass pea (Lathyrus sativus L.) in New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. There is no mention of the importance of iron and zinc in plant development, reproduction, or reproductivity in the introduction section. Furthermore, it is unclear why you did your experiment in the new alluvial zone. As a result, I believe the goal of this experiment is not clearly stated in your introduction section.</p> <p>2. Please include details on the design of experiment, replication, statistical analysis, total area of the experiment, and any other pertinent information in the materials and methods section.</p> <p>3. There is no lettering on table 2-7 based on the statistical analysis. In any agricultural field experiment, statistical analysis is required to create a meaningful difference between treatments.</p>	<p>I would like to thank the Reviewer for his/her comments. Changes have been made to the Introduction to improve the quality as suggested. Treatment details has now been updated.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Please try to make the discussion as a biological story based on the findings of your experiment.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	