

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_78522
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMERS' INFORMATION SOURCES AND CONSTRAINTS TO CLIMATE ADAPTABILITY IN ONDO STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In conclusion section “the perception of the farmers about climatic adaptability is in better agricultural practices...” <i>This sentence is lack in clarity. Consider rewriting</i></p> <p><i>Table 6 is need a footnote as in table 5</i></p> <p>Iwala OS. Socioeconomic factors affecting the adoption of technological Innovation by smallholder Oilpalm farmers in Edo and Ondo States of Nigeria. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis Federal University of Technology Akure Nigeria.2004</p> <p>Mudombi, S. and Nhamo, G. Access to weather forecasting and early warning Information by communal farmers in Seke and Murewa Districts, Zimbabwe. Journal of Human Ecology,2014. 48(3): 357–366</p> <p>Nicholls RJ, Wong PP, Burkett VR, Codignotto JO, Hay JE, McLean RF, Woodroffe CD.Coastal systems and low-lying areas. In: Canziani OF, Parry ML,Palutikof JP, van der Linden PJ, Hanson CE (eds) Climate change 2007: Impacts,Adaptation and Vulnerability, Con</p> <p><i>These references are not used in the text</i></p>	Correction noted and revised as appropriate. Thank you
Optional/General comments	Few typos and formatting errors are there and please consider editing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>