

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_78419
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploration of soil and weather factors on mulberry root rot incidence in the western zone of Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: Ok</p> <p>Abstract: Minor correction is necessary.</p> <p>Introduction: Ok</p> <p>Materials and Methods and Results: Minor correction is necessary. : Revise the writing of statistical analysis. Compare different treatments/varieties in respect of disease incidence by LSD in Table 2. Analyse disease incidence in Table 3 also and compare by LSD.</p> <p>Discussion: Minor correction is necessary.</p> <p>Conclusion: Delete reference from conclusion.</p> <p>Check all references. Follow journal style to write references.</p>	<p>As recommended by the reviewer, I made all the corrections and highlighted except statistical analysis in results. Since, the results were drawn based on survey observations, I have no replications to compare by LSD. Hence two factor anova without replication was done.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is no ethical issue in the study.