

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_76826
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of heat tolerance potential in QTL introgressed lines of hybrid rice restorer, KMR-3R through PS-II efficiency
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>CHANGE HIGH TEMPERATURE AND HEAT TOLERANCE THROUGHOUT THE DOCUMENT TO COMPOUND WORD E.g high-temperature, heat -tolerance, heat- induced</b></li><li>2. <b>Rephrase Abstract And Join Them Together Starting With Problem Statement, Aim, Study Design, Methodology, Result And Conclusion.</b></li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Changes incorporated as per the suggestion.</li><li>2. Abstract was represented as per the Journal guidelines.</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The journal paper should be accepted with minor revision	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author should keep the blue highlight and remove the red highlight	Changes incorporated as per the suggestion.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	We extremely thankful to the editorial team and anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions to improve the manuscript.