

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_75676
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of plant growth regulators to improve the colour and sugar content of Grapes (Vitis vinifera L.). cv. Red Globe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	More citations that outline the problem and why it's important are needed. Include more literature review in your manuscript to add value to it. Describe climate better and give more facts about the red globe trade globally Include citations for your points, such as 'Red Globe is likely to become the most important seeded table grape...' Says who and what data? What's Coimbatore conditions (describe briefly) Need figures, not just tables (fix fonts in tables to be like) Section 3 should start with your results, not a list of what's in a table. Results and discussion section should be split. It is unclear what is result and what is discussion. Condense discussion to be more direct as it is clouded with terms and measurements. If condensed, it should read more directly, clearly, and cleanly with less repetitive words.	References added Figures added Result and discussion splitted
Minor REVISION comments	Need language polishing, it is difficult to understand as is	Modified
Optional/General comments	Interesting topic. Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. It could be of contribution to the scientific community if revised. Good description of variables and yield parameters – clear and easy to understand	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No