

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_75157
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing growth and yield parameters in mulberry through different levels of drip irrigation and mulching
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1.The title of the Manuscript must be changed as follows The effect of drip irrigation and mulching on the growth and yield parameters of mulberry(Morus alba).</p> <p>2.the introduction is too long. It must be shortened.</p> <p>3.the physical and chemical properties of the soil of the the experiment must be added in a table.</p> <p>4.since it is afactorial experiment, the results must be presented in Two - Way table.</p> <p>5.i wonder if there is any information on the levels of nutrients in the leaves of the plant.</p> <p>6.conclusion is too long. It must be rrduced. It should start from:drip irrigation----to :available nutrients in the soil.</p> <p>7.the number of references are too many. Only those directly related to the work must be included. 8ref.are more than enough.</p>	All corrections have done properly
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very good and worthy of publication	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	