

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_88237
Title of the Manuscript:	Gastroprotective activity and acute toxicity of the aqueous extract of <i>Cylicodiscus gabunensis</i> Harm. (Fabaceae) trunk bark
Type of the Article	1 Original Research Article

Comment [O.I.1]: SUGGESTION:
Gastroprotective activity and acute toxicity
of aqueous extract of *Cylicodiscus
gabunensis* Harm. (Fabaceae) trunk bark

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is not seen in the Journal article format It has Abstract, Introduction, Material and methods and Statistical analysis, Results and discussions But The font and font size of these headings has not been maintained . its not clear to the reader There is No conclusion</p> <p>Statistical analysis paragraph appears truncated incomplete</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The references style and the way its mentioned in the manuscript does not seem as per the article requirement. Look into the format by SDI and modify the article accordingly Be strict about the references style</p>	done
Optional/General comments	<p>Rewrite the article to look as a scientific article</p> <p>Certificate from Animal House about the compliance in proper handling of animal as 56 animals were sacrificed for your research. Ethical clearance for this is not found with the manuscript. Licence to handle animal with a trained animal supervisor is required</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Not applicable