

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_85615
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION, ANGINA, CONGESTIVE CARDIAC FAILURE PATIENTS PRESCRIBING PATTERN IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL-RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Suggest to change the name of the title to “ESTIMATE THE SPECTRUM AND PRESCRIPTION PATTERN IN PATIENTS WITH MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION, ANGINA, CONGESTIVE CARDIAC FAILURE AT A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL- RETROSPECTIVE STUDY” for the following reasons: i) The spectrum of IHD has been studied in detail and it has been mentioned in the objectives also as the first one. ii) We are assessing the prescription patterns and not the diseases per se. Also, suggest to stick to one of the two : “prescription pattern” or “prescribing pattern”.2. Keywords may rather include “Prescription pattern” “Cost analysis” and “Ischemic Heart Disease” which will include all the mentioned keywords in single term rather than including each disease pattern separately .3. The article contains two big tables with large number of rows and columns. It is preferable break it up into few separate tables depending on their characteristics.	<p>As per the reviewer suggestions the title of the manuscript change is accepted and highlighted in the yellow colour</p> <p>As per the author suggestion prescription word is accepted and where ever it is there modified and highlighted in the yellow colour</p> <p>Key words are added</p> <p>As per the suggestion instead of more tables , the class of drugs used were bold and highlighted with yellow colour</p>
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Methods section in abstract may be written as “The required data were collected in a structured questionnaire”. There are 26 grammatically error suggestions shown even in a common software like grammarly. Actually there are many grammar errors some of them repeated often which needs to be corrected .	As per the reviewer suggestion the grammatical correction was considered and minimised/ eliminated in the article.

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	