

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_84903
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF EXPOSURE TO TYRE SMOKE ON HACMOGLOBIN SERUM CALCIUM AND ALBUMIN OF SELECTED ABATTOIR WORKERS IN TRANS – AMADI SLAUGHTER OF PORT HARCOURT.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcrr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The number of subjects too less and besides the number is a big mismatch (35-15). Using t-test is ok, but still may be misleading if a larger sample size is considered. The Justifications given cannot be accepted for all cases as nutrition also plays a big role and my feeling, even that may be compromised to add differences. Study done well, planned well, but may be a few more indicators or biochemical tests can be considered to get a better clinical picture, just the two parameters may not be revealing the broader impact but still good to begin with. My belief a few parameters can reveal a better clinical picture than isolated ones. More study for longer terms needed to understand the damage done, but a good start.	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	