

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_84481
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Sub-chronic Administration of Crude Ethanol Extract of Cola nitida On Stomach Mucosa Epithelia Lining and Liver Function Enzymes in Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbrr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<div><div>➤ This manuscript is high quality for publication after minor revision.</div><div>➤ The title is relevant as to me.</div><div>➤ The title and abstract cover the main aspect of the work and informative.</div><div>➤ The introduction section provides background information relevant to the study and body of the research is well explained.</div><div>➤ The methods are clear and results match with the methods.</div><div>➤ The findings described by the author correlate with the results</div><div>➤ I recommend typo-grammatical errors which needs suitable amendment.<div>– For instance, The nuts is use as an aphrodisiac.....amend accordingly.</div></div><div>➤ The conclusion was done in parallel to findings entertained.</div></div> <div>Reference: references have the correct style. Better to incorporate the 'DOI' of the article cited in the reference list even though some of them includes it.</div>	<div><div>➤ The updated version of this manuscript has been reviewed and edited carefully to address your comments number 7 &amp; 8, respectively.</div><div>➤ On item 9 on your comment, the conclusion was modified to capture the scope of the work and hope it would serve.</div><div>➤ References, I tried to make sure references with DOI are cited and those without or provided by the publishing Journal were cited the way they are supposed to be cited. I hope it will be accepted as such.</div><div>➤ I have no any other reservation on the reviewer comment. Thank you</div></div>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Recommended to use automated reference managing soft wares such as Mendeley, Endnote...	I agree with you, however, I try to use Endnote but failed me toward the end of manuscript preparation.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	