

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_84136
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of extracts and piperidine alkaloids from Prosopis spp. to the control of plant pests and diseases
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- Sequence of reference in paragraphs 2- Check all comments and corrections on paper 3- 3- The title should express the content of the review article more accurately	1- We agree and corrected the sequence. 2- We reviewed all comments and all the corrections were highlighted in yellow colour in the document. 3- We agree and modified the title according to your recommendations. However, we consider that several of the studies included in the review still need to be validated in the field before being applied. For this reason, we kept the word "potential" in the title.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- Rewrite the conclusion because it must be not as abstract	1- We agree with your comment about part of information of the abstract is repeated in the conclusion. We rewrite the first paragraph of the conclusion highlighted in yellow colour.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	