

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_84024
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytotoxicity and Genotoxicity of Sunset Yellow and Potassium Sorbate in Jurkat Cell Line
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbrr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>In the methodology, the authors need to better define the way in which the different dilutions were prepared and highlight the criterion to define the concentrations that were tested, because, in my view, such information will guide and better justify the discussion.</p> <p>Part of the legend of figure 1 needs to be transferred to the methodology.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments and valuable suggestions. I have made the corrections according to your instructions. The corrected paragraph is highlighted in yellow colour (page 2.)</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>They are few and are specified as comments in the text.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. I accepted your comments in text and made all the suggested corrections.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>Nothing to point.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments.</p>

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.