

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_84146
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Therapeutic potential of Ethanol Leaf Extract of Anacardium occidentale in the management of experimentally induced kidney damage in Wistar rat
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijanr.com/index.php/IJANR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The botanical identity and authentication of the chosen plant from a Botanist is missing2. Animal ethical statement is missing3. The toxicity studies must be performed for 14 days to assess acute toxicity. The toxicity cannot be predicted within 24 or 48 hrs.4. Serum biochemical parameters to assess the kidney function can be performed to justify the study objective.5. After the treatment, animals weight data is not consistent. In 500mg/kg, the weight has increased more, while in 300mg/kg, the weight has reduced. What is the inference of this data?	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This has been taken care of2. Thanks for your observation, this has been taken care of4. Serum creatinine and urea were accessed5. Thanks for your observation, the needful has been done.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Discussion part can be better2. Language must be improved3. Citations are not in same style. Some are in square brackets and some are in names and years.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The needful has been done2. the language has improved3. Thanks for your observation, the needful has been done
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	