

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_77961
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of renoprotective effect of <i>Pentaclethra macrophylla</i> seed (Ugba) against Mercury induced acute kidney injury in male Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proper use of acronyms (for e.g. KIM-I, PM etc) • Correction of all spellings and gram material errors (past tense) • Justified satisfied analysis (p-values) • Rectification of % formula & calculation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors do not see anything wrong in the use of those acronyms. KIM-1 – kidne injury molecule – 1 and PM - <i>Pentaclethra macrophylla</i>. The meaning of those acronyms were stated in the manuscript before they were used. • All grammatical errors have been corrected.
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • mention axis title on graphs properly • use correct chemical formula • mention references correctly • proper formatting of text is required 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • They are mentioned. • Corrections have been made.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues.