

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_87399
Title of the Manuscript:	Serological Pattern of Hepatitis B Virus Infection and Risk factors among Infected Subjects in Port Harcourt, Rivers state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Results: age groups &lt;25, 25-34 and 35 -44 are unusual, justify that why these age groups made or revise</li><li>In demographic data – religion needs to explain for association or omit this</li><li>Give conclusion based on results and make it precise, too the point</li><li>Revise introduction and delete all known or common facts, add rational/justification of this study and its implications on existing knowledge and practice</li><li>Delete map of area given</li></ul>	These age ranges are appropriate as they describe the general distribution of a population  Religion has been expunged from the result section The conclusion has been revised The authors believe that a good introduction should entails good review of literatures which will include known existing knowledge that relates to the work, hence these should not be removed.  The map has been removed
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Look for sentence formation, sentence continuity and spelling at places	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	