

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_85857
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of H. Pylori on fibrinogen level among Sudanese patients at Khartoum state
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The author can give some background information about the effect of <i>H. pylori</i> on fibrinogen level</li><li>2. The author has to check the Genes and species of the organism (<i>H. pylori</i>) to be in Italics</li><li>3. Check the spelling for example like diabetes mellitus</li><li>4. The author can give about 5-6 keywords</li><li>5. In the Introduction the author can give few information regarding the effect of fibrinogen levels and Pathogenesis of infection.</li><li>6. In the methodology the author has to mention the sampling details and inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study</li><li>7. A graph can be plotted to explain the correlation between effect of <i>H. pylori</i> infection on fibrinogen level.</li><li>8. Sentence format can be revisited</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- Edited as suggested by respected reviewer please look at the corrected manuscript you will find it highlighted with red colour in the background section.</li><li>2- Edited as suggested by respected reviewer please look at the corrected manuscript you will find it highlighted with red colour throughout the manuscript.</li><li>3- Checked and highlighted with red colour throughout the manuscript.</li><li>4- Edited as suggested by respected reviewer please look at the corrected manuscript you will find it highlighted with red colour in the keywords section.</li><li>5- Edited as suggested by respected reviewer please look at the corrected manuscript you will find it highlighted with red colour in the background section</li><li>6- Edited as suggested by respected reviewer please look at the corrected manuscript you will find it highlighted with red colour in the methodology section</li><li>7- Thank you for your valuable comment we will consider it in our future work</li><li>8- Edited as suggested by respected reviewer please look at the corrected manuscript you will find it highlighted with red colour.</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	