

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_84161
Title of the Manuscript:	Serum Hcpcidin level among Chronic Kidney Disease subjects accessing Healthcare in Braithwaite Memorial Specialist Hospital Port Harcourt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	A Truly detailed design study between CKD subjects and clinical data linkage is well demonstrated.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Relationship of CKD and the hepcidin level are documented and need further evaluation in different species and disease states, especially the fact is between the lines.  (Conditions that lead to anemia can be associated with high and low hepcidin levels. In both instances, contrasting hepcidin deregulation may ameliorate/correct anemia in preclinical models, offering new tools that are already or will be soon clinically explored for the treatment of specific anemias.) From Front. Physiol., 09 October 2019   <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01294">https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01294</a>	Thank you. The reviewer’s contribution is very valuable and draws attention for subsequent research area on the subject.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	