

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_84160
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Malaria among Pregnant Women in Braithwaite Memorial Specialist Hospital, Port Harcourt and General Hospital, Bori by Haemoglobin Level
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Change the topic to "Prevalence of Malaria among Pregnant Women in General Hospital, Bori and Braithwaite Memorial Specialist Hospital, Port Harcourt, River State, Nigeria2. Conclude your study based on what you did. The study is not on awareness but on prevalence	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Topic corrected2. Conclusion reconstructed
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. There is wrong spelling of anaemia and parasite, correct it.2. State the full meaning of the certificates in fig 33. Do not start sentence with figure or abbreviation4. Two of your references are too old, replace it with recent references	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Corrected2. Added3. Noted4. Old references are long stated facts that have not change with time or research advancement
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	