

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_87547
Title of the Manuscript:	ON COMPACT STEEP SPECTRUM RADIO QUASARS/GALAXIES AND YOUTH SCENARIO
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NIL	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Page 1, last line, ".....[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11]"; Multiple references; some short description in few lines of these references should be given. 2. Page 2, line 3 ".....[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11]." Line 8, "....[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11]." Multiple references; some short description in few lines of these references should be given. Moreover, multiple times, same number of references are repeated, this practice should not be followed. 3. Page 2, line 13, ".....(Robson 1996)."; pls. give reference no. 4. Page 2, line 18, ".....[3,12,13,14,15]."; Multiple references; pls. give short description in few lines of these references.	The necessary corrections have been effected.
Optional/General comments	In this study, statistical methods of analysis are used to find some difference in some parametric relationships of CSS quasars and CSS galaxies. The authors have concluded that while CSS galaxies evolve dynamically and progressively as well, CSS quasars suffer retrogressive dynamical evolution.	The necessary corrections have been effected.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	