

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_84443
Title of the Manuscript:	A Relation Between Different Physical Parameters of a Planet and Its Consequences
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are some points the authors try to modify the paper. I highlighted in the documents. It will be better if some of calculations were done in the main part of the documents should be taken to the Appendix parts	Thank you for your reviews! The changes have been done to manuscript according to your recommendations. The calculations haven't been included in the main to prevent distraction of reader from the content and to save space. Personally, I feel the calculations shouldn't be included in the main manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is good!	Thank you!

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	