

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_84174
Title of the Manuscript:	Looking into Dark Energy Effect on the Extragalactic Radio Quasar Luminosity Evolution
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a nice paper with some interesting results in cosmology. The paper can be published after the Author(s) will address the following minor issue for the sake of completeness. The Author(s) is requested to stress that the dark energy problem can be, in principle, achieved in the framework of extended gravity. This is stressed, for example, in Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. D 18, 2275 (2009), which must be added to the references. I suggest the Author(s) insert this comment in page 2 at the end of the Introduction after the sentence "This energy is anti-gravity, and is believed to be the driving force behind the evolution (expansion) of the universe [19]."	I have effected the necessary corrections.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	