

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_83815
Title of the Manuscript:	Unification of Extragalactic Radio Sources and Re-acceleration of Radio jets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The abstract is too lengthy. It should be edited to concisely summarise the article.	If the abstract is reduced further, it will lack clarity.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. In page 3, "The last equation shows ..." should be more specific to which equation it is referring to.	The correction has been effected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper suggests that dark energy is the mechanism behind the re-acceleration phenomenon by carrying some regression analyses using samples of some powerful extragalactic radio sources. The manuscript is well-written and clear.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	